	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/090,124	LEVIJOKI, PETER	₹ .
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael I Poe	1732	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>New</u>	
(1) Michael I Poe (Examiner).	(3)		
(2) Donald Schoonover (Applicant's attorney).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 January 2004	Time: <u>11:30 am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	cant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: 1-11			
Prior art documents discussed: None specifically.			
Part II.		197	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	NAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	he examiner will provide a vector of the substance of	written summary of the the interview, since	ne substance
	1		
* * *		200	-
		·	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	ant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and applicant's attorney discussed changes to the application that were necessary to place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner and applicant's attorney agreed upon the cancellation of non-elected claims 1-9 without prejudice to expedite allowance of elected claims 10 and 11. It was further agreed that the title and abstract would be changed so that they better reflected the elected and allowed invention. Refer to the Examiner's Amendment for a complete listing of changes.